## FILED CHARLOTTE, NC

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION DOCKET NO. 3:10-cv-00439-FDW-DCK

MAR 12 A.M.
US DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NC

LENDINGTREE, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,			)		
	Plaintiff,		)		
vs.			) VERDICT FORM		
NEX	CTAG, INC., a l ADCHEMY, INC	ashington corporation; Delaware corporation; C., a Delaware corpora-	; )		
	Defendants.				
	We the jury unar	•	RINGEMENT CLAIMS		
1.			') proven by a preponderance of the evid any of the following claims?		
	"Yes" is a f	inding for LendingTree.	"No" is a finding for Zillow.		
		U.S. Patent No. 6,385	,594 ("the '594 patent")		
	Claim 1	Yes	No No		
	Claim 6	Yes	No		
		<b>U.S. Patent No. 6,611</b>	,816 ("the '816 patent")		
	Claim 1	Yes	No		
	Claim 4	Yes	No		
	Claim 5	Yes	No		

•		
Claim 18	Yes	No
Claim 19	Yes	No
Claim 20	Yes	No
Claim 22	Yes	No
Claim 23	Yes	No
Claim 24	Yes	No
Has LendingTree ("Adchemy") infi	proven by a preponde inged any of the follow	erance of the evidence that Adchemy, Inc. wing claims?
"Yes" is a find	ling for LendingTree.	"No" is a finding for Adchemy.
<u>U</u>	.S. Patent No. 6,611,8	816 ("the '816 patent")
Claim 1	Yes	No
Claim 4	Yes	No
Claim 5	Yes	No
Claim 22	Yes	No
Claim 23	Yes	No
	proven by a prepond ged any of the follow	lerance of the evidence that NexTag, Inc. ing claims?
"Yes" is a fin	ding for LendingTree.	"No" is a finding for NexTag.
<u>U</u>	.S. Patent No. 6,611,8	816 ("the '816 patent")
Claim 1	Yes	No
Claim 4	Yes	No
Claim 5	Yes	No

2.

3.

		•			
	Claim 22	Yes	· .	No	
	Claim 23	Yes	- -	No	
	· .				
	II. FINDIN	GS ON WILLF	UL INFRI	NGEMENT CLA	<u>IMS</u>
	Answer the follo patent are infrin		aly if you f	ound the '594 pate	ent and/or '816
1.	Has LendingTree fringement was w		and convin	cing evidence, that	Zillow's in-
	Yes		No		
	Answer the folloby Adchemy:	wing question or	nly if you f	ound the '816 pate	ent is infringed
2.	Has LendingTree fringement was w		and convin	cing evidence, that	Adchemy's in-
	Yes		No		
	Answer the follo	wing question o	aly if you f	ound the '816 pate	ent is infringed
3.	Has LendingTree fringement was w		and convin	cing evidence, that	NexTag's in-
	Yes		No		
<u>III.</u>	FINDINGS ON PA	TENT INVALI	DITY DU	E TO WRONG IN	VENTORSHIP
1.	•	_		ar and convincing fy the correct inven	•
	Yes		No		
2.	-		. •	ar and convincing fy the correct inven	
	Yes		No	-	

## IV. FINDINGS ON PATENT INVALIDITY ON OTHER GROUNDS

Has any one of the defendants proven by clear and convincing evidence that any 1. of the following claims of the '594 patent are invalid for any other reason? "Yes" is a finding for Zillow. "No" is a finding for LendingTree. Claim 1 Yes Claim 6 Yes No 2. Has any one of the defendants proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '816 patent are invalid for any other reason? "Yes" is a finding for Zillow, NexTag and/or Adchemy. "No" is a finding for LendingTree. Claim 1 Yes \_\_\_\_ Claim 4 Yes \_\_\_\_ No Claim 5 Yes \_\_\_\_ No Claim 18 Yes Claim 19 Yes \_\_\_\_ No Claim 20 Yes Claim 22 Yes \_\_\_\_ Claim 23 Yes

V. FINDINGS ON DAMAGES FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT (IF APPLICABLE)

No

Yes \_\_\_\_

Claim 24

	Answer the following question only if you found that the '594 patent and/or '816 patent are infringed by Zillow and not invalid under section IV:
1.	What is the amount of damages that you find LendingTree has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, due to Zillow's infringement:
	\$
	Write out the amount in words:
	Answer the following question only if you found that the '816 patent is infringed by Adchemy and not invalid under section IV question 2:
2.	What is the amount of damages that you find LendingTree has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, due to Adchemy's infringement:
	\$
	Write out the amount in words:
	Answer the following two questions only if you found that the '816 patent is infringed by NexTag and not invalid under section IV question 2:
3.	What is the amount of damages that you find LendingTree has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, due to NexTag's infringement:
	\$
	Write out the amount in words:
	VI. FINDINGS ON INEQUITABLE CONDUCT
1.	Has any one of the defendants proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that one or more of the inventors committed inequitable conduct?
	"Yes" is a finding for Zillow, NexTag and/or Adchemy. "No" is a finding for LendingTree.
	YesNo
	VII. FINDINGS ON ANTITRUST CLAIM
1.	Has Zillow and/or Adchemy proven by clear and convincing evidence that either (1) LendingTree fraudulently procured either the '594 patent or the '816 patent, or (2) that LendingTree filed this lawsuit as a sham to interfere with any of its competitor's business.
	"Yes" is a finding for Zillow and/or Adchemy. "No" is a finding for LendingTree.
	Yes No

2.	Has Zillow and/or Adchemy proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that LendingTree violated the antitrust laws by engaging in an unlawful attempt to monopolize?
	"Yes" is a finding for Zillow and/or Adchemy. "No" is a finding for LendingTree.
	Yes No
	VIII. FINDINGS ON DAMAGES FOR ANTITRUST (IF APPLICABLE)
	Answer the following two questions only if your answers to the questions in section VII above are "Yes":
1.	What is the amount of damages that you award to Zillow for LendingTree's violation of the antitrust laws:
	\$
	Write out the amount in words:
2.	What is the amount of damages that you award to Adchemy for LendingTree's violation of the antitrust laws:
	\$
	Write out the amount in words:

## CHECKING AND SIGNING OF VERDICT FORM

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Foreperson should then sign and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the Marshal that you have reached a verdict. The Foreperson should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

DATED: MARCH 12, 2014

